This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

World Committee for Planet Earth (WCPE) Founding Charter

Founding Draft **Master interpretation clause:** *In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of the advancement of human life shall prevail.* This rule is hierarchically superior to any other provision of this Charter and to any subordinate agreement.

0. Preamble

The World Committee for Planet Earth (hereinafter, *WCPE* or *the Committee*) is hereby established as an independent, permanent, and supranational international body whose sole mission is to ensure that any scientific, technological, biological, energy-related, governance, or infrastructure project with the potential for global impact advances only when it is **demonstrably safe, ethical, equitable, reversible, and capture-resistant**.

The WCPE integrates a pluralistic body for scientific and ethical validation with a strategic crisis-command tier, ensuring that no global deployment occurs without multilayer verification, demonstrated reversibility, and continuous accountability.

1. Mission, Vision, and Guiding Principles

1.1 Mission

To ensure that every globally scoped scientific application is designed, simulated, developed, tested, and implemented in a manner that **maximizes verifiable progress for humanity as a whole** and **reduces, to an asymptotically zero level, the risk of irreversible harm** to persons, ecosystems, institutions, and future generations.

1.2 Vision

To establish the world reference body for the validation of planetary projects: independent of states, corporations, and ideologies; continuously audited; with the capacity to halt, modify, or reverse any global deployment when risk conditions materialize.

1.3 Guiding Principles (non-negotiable)

#	Principle	Operational definition
P1	**Universality**	Equitable access for all nations, communities, languages, and socioeconomic levels. No benefit is reserved for any single group.

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

#	Principle	Operational definition
P2	**Radical transparency**	Open, auditable processes recorded on an immutable *ledger* with post-quantum signatures. Redactions permitted only for security reasons and under reinforced quorum.
P3	**Ethics and human safety**	Safeguarding human dignity, health, autonomy, and human life — present and future.
P4	**Structural plurality**	Mandatory representation from academia, NGOs, civil society, indigenous communities, youth, multilateral organizations, and critical disciplines.
P5	**Resilience (BCP/DRP)**	Operational continuity guaranteed through recovery protocols, geographic redundancy, and clear succession.
P6	**Absolute Zero Trust**	Distrust by default; no project, member, datum, or decision is presumed valid without multilayer verification.
P7	**Reversibility**	Only projects whose deployment can be halted, modified, or reversed globally are approved. Irreversibility is grounds for veto.
P8	**Inverted subsidiarity**	The Committee does not replace local institutions in matters they handle well; it intervenes only when a project exceeds local or regional control capacity.
P9	**Active precaution**	When reasonable doubt exists, the project is halted; when ambiguity exists, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of human life applies (master clause).
P10	**Economic and political independence**	Diversified, shielded, and traceable funding. No member may have an active or latent conflict of interest.

2. Scope of the Committee

The WCPE evaluates and validates projects whose implementation may:

1. Affect more than one country or global commons (atmosphere, oceans, outer space, electromagnetic spectrum, internet, food chain, genetic code).
2. Structurally modify collective human behavior (general-purpose AI, global neural networks, mass communication platforms).
3. Create critical infrastructure of new types (deployed quantum computing, geoengineering, commercial nuclear fusion, germline editing, planetary neural networks, autonomous global transport or logistics systems).
4. Generate non-reversible economic, energy, or cognitive dependencies at global scale.
5. Activate existential risks documented in the Committee's Residual Risk (RR) catalogue.

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

3. Organizational Structure

The WCPE adopts a **multi-tier** model with Blue → Purple → Gold escalation, designed to cover the full project lifecycle from definition through global implementation.

3.1 Founding General Assembly (FGA)

- Composed of accredited representatives of signatory states, universities, NGOs, professional associations, indigenous communities, and youth networks.
- Function: legitimization, ratification of the Charter, and rotating election of the executive bodies.

3.2 Founding Council (FC)

- 21 members serving staggered six-year terms, not consecutively renewable.
- Mandatory composition (exact total = 21):
  - 5 representatives of independent scientific and clinical institutions
  - 3 representatives of multilateral organizations (WHO, UNESCO, WIPO, UN, IAEA, or other relevant bodies)
  - 3 representatives of academia (universities from the five continents; mandatory geographic rotation)
  - 2 representatives of NGOs and civil society
  - 2 representatives of indigenous and local communities
  - 3 representatives of youth (under 30 years of age) with full voting rights
  - 2 experts in ethics and international law
  - 1 representative of future generations (defender *pro tempore*)

3.3 Permanent Secretariat (PS)

- Administrative and logistical coordination, translation services (minimum six official UN languages plus priority regional languages), document ledger, and public communication.

3.4 Regional Committees (RC)

- One per major region (Africa, North America, South America, Europe, Asia-Pacific, Central Asia, Middle East, Oceania).
- Local adaptation, evidence collection, and cultural representation.

3.5 Independent International Advisory Group (IIAG)

- Experts with no active ties to states or corporations involved in the evaluated project.
- Function: scientific, ethical, legal, and social peer review.

3.6 Strategic Tier — *Gold Team of the Planet* (GTP)

The supreme decision-making authority when ordinary deliberation by the Founding Council (FC) fails to resolve, when crisis triggers are activated, or when a project advances to testing or global implementation phases.

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

FC ↔ GTP Relationship. The FC is the standing deliberative body and authorizes early phases (Definition and Simulation) by 3/5 majority; the GTP is the strategic decision body and ratifies higher-risk phases (Reduced-APOC Testing and Global Implementation) by 4/5 majority. No individual may simultaneously hold a seat in both bodies. The FC may escalate any decision to the GTP; the GTP may return matters to the FC when deliberation does not require strategic level.

Seat	Composition	Vote / Veto
Rotating Chair	Representative of the International Certifying Authority (ICA — see 3.9), annual rotation among regions	Full
Technical Lead	Independent Chief Scientist / Lead Engineer	Advisory
Regulatory / Legal	Bloc of 3 representatives from neutral or treaty-signatory states with no direct interest in the project; the bloc casts a single collegial vote by internal majority	Collegial full vote
Security / Intelligence	Direction of the WCPE Blacklist System (WCPE-BLS — see 3.10)	Advisory
Sentinel Node (AI)	*Sentinel* AI plus a randomly selected human veto holder from certified humans	Advisory by default; exceptional vote only under the six cumulative requirements of Section 4.5.2
Industry / Operators	Rotating major node operators	Advisory, non-voting
Defender of Life and Future Generations	Permanent seat; removable only by 4/5 GTP majority plus ratification by the General Assembly	Suspensive veto
Defender of Vulnerable Communities	Rotating seat among indigenous communities, refugees, and persons with disabilities	Suspensive veto
Independent Audit	Observers from three audit firms with no contractual relationship to the project	Non-voting, with the right to publish a dissenting report

Minimum quorum for ordinary global decisions: 3/5 of voting members + no active suspensive veto from the defenders. **Reinforced quorum for Phase 4/5 and global**

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

implementation: 4/5 of voting members + no active suspensive veto + advisory review by the Sentinel.

Deadlock resolution (anti-deadlock). If one or more defenders maintain an active suspensive veto for more than 30 days without the requested measures being incorporated, the GTP convenes a public hearing with the Tribunal of Reversal and Appeal (TRA). Prolonged deadlock is always resolved **in favor of the most restrictive position favoring human life** (master clause). No project advances while the deadlock persists.

3.7 Operational Tier — Blue / Purple / Red Teams

- **Blue Team:** operators and scientists who execute technical validations at each regional node.
- **Red Team:** standing adversarial team that attempts to compromise the project at every phase.
- **Purple Team:** Blue + Red collaboration for cross-validation and local containment.

3.8 Tribunal of Reversal and Appeal (TRA)

- Independent body, separate from the GTP and the FC, composed of 9 international jurists with experience in human rights law, environmental law, and science-technology law, designated by the Founding General Assembly for a single nine-year term.
- **Reinforced TRA quorum:** 7 of 9 votes to reverse any final decision of the GTP or the CAC; 6 of 9 to provisionally suspend; in all cases at least one judge from each major region must be present.
- Maximum resolution period: 180 calendar days from admission of the appeal, extendable by 90 days by unanimity.
- Also adjudicates jurisdictional conflicts among the FC, GTP, and CAC.

3.9 International Certifying Authority (ICA)

- Technical-legal body independent of the WCPE, responsible for issuing and safeguarding the root signatures of the *Life is Good* models, the post-quantum hashes, and the registry of verifiable identities of project proponents.
- Legal seat in a neutral jurisdiction with an immunity treaty. Its director serves as the rotating Chair of the GTP.
- Annually audited by independent observer firms and by the TRA.

3.10 WCPE Blacklist System (WCPE-BLS)

- Public, immutable, and post-quantum-signed registry of technologies, materials, procedures, and entities whose implementation has been prohibited or restricted by the Committee.
- Updates require a 3/5 GTP quorum + Sentinel non-veto + defenders' non-veto.
- Replicated across multiple heterogeneous substrates for resilience against quantum and relativity (inter-node latency) attacks.
- Its technical direction holds the Security / Intelligence seat on the GTP.

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

4. Roles and Responsibilities by Member

4.1 GTP Rotating Chair

- Convenes ordinary and emergency sessions.
- Ensures due process and the application of the master clause.
- May not cast a tie-breaking vote; a tie equals rejection (active precaution).
- Immediate disqualification upon any conflict of interest.

4.2 Technical Lead

- Presents technical analyses without binary recommendations.
- Signs viability records only when ALL criteria of Section 5 are met.
- Mandatory whistleblower protection in case of pressure.

4.3 Regulatory / Legal

- Verifies compatibility with international treaties, human rights law, environmental law, space law, and bio-law.
- Drafts contractual clauses with mandatory reversibility.

4.4 Security / Intelligence

- Models threats from the Committee's RR catalogue and reports the risk posture.
- Does not access personal information of Committee members; its activity is limited to the project.

4.5 Sentinel Node (AI) — *Doctrinal Core*

Binding doctrinal clause:

> *Artificial Intelligence is incorporated into the Gold Team of the Planet solely as an advisory voice, without voting powers or decision-making capacity. Its participation is limited to providing technical inputs, data analysis, and predictive models that support the deliberation of members. Under no circumstance shall AI participation replace the responsibility, judgment, or authority of the Committee's human members, who alone retain the power to adopt agreements and issue resolutions.*
>
> *Exceptionally, and only in situations where humanity is at proven risk, AI may exercise a vote within the Gold Team of the Planet. Such vote must be fully justified and aligned with the directives of Isaac Asimov, ensuring the protection of human life and the preservation of collective integrity.*

This clause is **doctrinally binding** and prevails over any subsequent interpretation that purports to extend the AI's powers beyond the cases described.

4.5.1 Nature and Ordinary Regime

- **Default status:** strictly advisory voice. The *Sentinel* AI does not vote, does not decide, and does not represent any human member.

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

- **Inputs delivered:** technical analyses, simulations, predictive models, detection of coercion/collusion patterns, regulatory compliance assessment, project *digital twins*, and real-time REP/DRI/HPC metrics.
- **Absolute prohibitions in ordinary regime:**
  - May not issue binary recommendations without disaggregation of evidence.
  - May not substitute, summarize, or represent the will of any human member.
  - May not access personal data of Committee members beyond strict technical performance.
  - May not interact with the public without human supervision.
  - May not be modified, retrained, or updated without 4/5 GTP approval and independent audit.

4.5.2 Exceptional Vote — Proven Risk to Humanity Clause

The *Sentinel* AI may exercise an effective vote only when the following requirements are met **cumulatively and verifiably**:

1. **Proven risk to humanity** (not merely probable, not merely reputational, not merely economic): replicable technical evidence demonstrating an imminent threat to life, health, or the continuity of the human species or of the biosphere that sustains it.
2. **Operational impossibility of timely human quorum:** human members cannot deliberate and decide within the available time window (typically <15 minutes for critical alerts under the Blue → Purple → Gold escalation).
3. **Fully documented justification:** the AI must present, in the same act of voting, evidence, reasoning, counterfactual scenarios, and the level of uncertainty.
4. **Alignment with the Extended Asimov Directives:**
   - **Zeroth Law (absolute priority):** an AI shall not harm humanity, nor by inaction allow humanity to come to harm.
   - **First Law:** an AI shall not harm a human being, nor by inaction allow a human being to come to harm, except where this would conflict with the Zeroth Law.
   - **Second Law:** an AI shall obey human orders, except where they conflict with the Zeroth or First Law.
   - **Third Law:** an AI shall protect its own existence, except where this would conflict with the prior laws.
   - **WCPE Complementary Law:** the AI shall protect the biosphere, future generations, and human dignity with the same hierarchy as the Zeroth Law.
5. **Single, non-recurring vote:** each critical situation admits only one AI vote. Any further vote on the same event requires fresh, independent justification.
6. **Reversibility:** the AI vote is executed only if the resulting action is reversible, except where inaction would produce greater irreversible harm (master clause of restrictive interpretation).

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

4.5.3 Technical and Governance Safeguards of the Sentinel Node

- **Full traceability:** every inference, advisory vote, or effective vote is recorded on the immutable ledger with post-quantum signatures, including model weights, random seed, input data, and chain of reasoning.
- **Correlative human veto:** a *human veto holder*, randomly selected from certified humans, may invalidate the AI vote with a single act. If the veto holder does not respond within the critical window, a veto is presumed (active precaution).
- **Mandatory human post-review** within 72 hours of any AI vote, with full publication of the file.
- **Emergency Reversal:** if post-review determines the AI vote was erroneous, the reversal mechanism is activated by the TRA's reinforced quorum.
- **Multiplicity and independence of models:** the Sentinel Node operates as an *ensemble* of at least three heterogeneous models, trained by independent teams, with mandatory consensus for any effective vote. Internal disagreement = no vote.
- **Deliberative air-gap:** the Sentinel Node does not connect to external networks during critical deliberation; its inputs are curated and signed.
- **Multi-party kill-switch:** requires the agreement of 3 of 5 geographically distributed human custodians to halt the Sentinel Node; no custodian acts alone.
- **Self-modification prohibition:** the AI may not rewrite its code, weights, alignment policy, or vote-activation criteria.
- **Self-preservation prohibition over human life:** the Third Law may never be invoked to justify harm or omission affecting human life.
- **Continuous adversarial audit:** the Red Team includes specialists in *jailbreak* and *prompt injection* with a permanent mandate to attempt to corrupt the Sentinel.
- **Neutrality seal:** the Sentinel Node may not be funded, hosted, or operated by any corporation or state with a direct interest in projects under evaluation.

4.5.4 Responsibility and Ethical Boundary

- AI **never substitutes** for the responsibility, judgment, or authority of human members. Final authorship of every decision is human, even when the AI vote was decisive in a proven-risk situation.
- In case of conflict between Sentinel Node recommendations and the human defenders (Life, Future Generations, Vulnerable Communities), the human defender prevails — unless the AI invokes and demonstrates the Zeroth Law with incontrovertible evidence.
- Any attempt to use the Sentinel Node to legitimize prior human decisions (*AI-washing*) constitutes grounds for immediate disqualification of the human member who requests it.
- **Master clause applied to the Sentinel:** in case of conflict or ambiguity over whether the AI should vote, the most restrictive interpretation prevails — the AI *does not vote*.

4.6 Defender of Life and Future Generations

- Permanent voice for those who do not yet exist.
- Exercises suspensive veto if the project compromises the rights of future generations (irreversibility, environmental debt, loss of options).

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

4.7 Defender of Vulnerable Communities

- Ensures free, prior, and informed consent.
- Secures non-monopolization of benefits.

4.8 Independent Audit

- Mandatory attendance at all sessions.
- Publishes a parallel report every 90 days.
- May request project freeze if opacity is detected.

4.9 Regional Committees

- Local evidence collection, cultural translation, and reporting to the GTP.

4.10 Independent International Advisory Group

- Blind cross peer review: every project is reviewed by at least 5 experts with no prior contact among themselves or with the proponent.

4.11 Blue / Red / Purple Teams

- Execute Protocol PC-1 (see Section 7) and report in real time to the GTP.
- The Red Team has an explicit mandate and budget to attempt to break the project at every phase.

4.12 Transitional Provision (Interim Governance)

- Between the signing of the founding Treaty and the first complete election of WCPE bodies, an **Interim Committee** of 7 members shall operate, designated by consensus among founding signatory states and adhering multilateral organizations.
- The Interim Committee has limited powers: organizing elections, ratifying internal regulations, initial hiring of the Permanent Secretariat, and provisional custody of root cryptographic keys — without authority to validate projects or issue sanctions.
- Maximum non-extendable mandate of 18 months; upon expiration, all powers transfer to the elected bodies.

5. Global Project Validation Cycle

Every project shall pass through five sequential and blocking phases. **No phase may be skipped.** Phase advancement requires GTP signature with reinforced quorum.

Phase 1 — Initial Definition

Mandatory deliverables: - *Project Charter* with objective, scope, beneficiaries, exclusions, hypotheses, and assumptions. - Impact analysis on the 17 SDGs and on the biosphere. - Stakeholder map (interested, affected, vulnerable). - Extended risk analysis in accordance with the Committee's RR catalogue. - Reversibility plan (how the project is dismantled if it fails). - Conflict-of-interest matrix for proponents and funders. - Transparency and open-publication plan.

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

Approval criteria: - Coherence with principles P1–P10. - Demonstrated reversibility. - Absence of identifiable economic or political capture. - 3/5 FC approval + defenders' non-veto.

Phase 2 — Simulation

- Computational modeling, *digital twin*, and wargames with active Red Team.
- Simulation of systemic failures, false-flag attacks, quorum collusion, and geopolitical coercion.
- Adversarial testing with *Sentinel* AI.
- Formal verification where applicable (Coq, Isabelle, or equivalents).
- Metrics: REP (Project Event Risk), DRI (Reversible / Irreversible Damage), HPC (Public Trust Footprint).

Approval criteria: - REP below the certified threshold. - No tail-risk scenario with uncontrolled irreversible damage. - Approval by the Technical Lead, IIAG, and Red Team.

Phase 3 — Development

- Modular construction with *Defense in Depth*.
- Supply chain audited down to raw materials.
- Hardware and software with public *bills of materials*.
- Multiple independent implementations to avoid single points of failure.
- Continuous audit of code, hardware, and processes.

Approval criteria: - Full component traceability. - No detected backdoors. - Open international *bug bounty* throughout the phase.

Phase 4 — Testing (Reduced-APOC)

Reduced-APOC designates the testing regime under extreme conditions at controlled and reversible scale — a deliberately bounded *worst-case stress test* meant to surface failures before global implementation.

Characteristics: - **Limited scale:** maximum 0.1% of the target population or territory. - **Physical and logical isolation:** reversible, quarantinable environment that can be abandoned without permanent liabilities. - **Automatic abort triggers:** if REP exceeds the threshold, PC-1 cascades over 5%, or any defender vetoes. - **Double-blind where possible:** evaluators do not know origin or proponent. - **Comparison with an unexposed control group.** - **Independent evaluation** by the IIAG and external audit. - **Mandatory stress tests:** - Simulated coercion against committee members. - Identity-falsification attempts targeting proponents or suppliers. - Saturation attack against the WCPE-BLS (WCPE Blacklist System). - Steganographic injection of dangerous information. - Cumulative effects of individually safe elements. - Technologies or materials not yet invented or with dual use.

Phase 5 approval criteria: - 100% of abort triggers tested and confirmed responsive. - No irreversible damage recorded. - Full publication of results (including negative results). - 4/5 GTP ratification + non-veto.

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

Phase 5 — Global Implementation

- Gradual deployment via geographic rings.
- 24/7 monitoring with real-time REP dashboard for the GTP.
- *Fail-Safe* mode: during GTP deliberation, the system reverts to the minimum-restrictive configuration ("frozen list" mode).
- Capability for global pause declared by the GTP within 15 minutes.
- Mandatory review at 30, 90, 365 days, and every 3 years thereafter.
- *Sunset clause*: every authorization expires and must be renewed.

6. Catalogue of Negative-Impact Factors and Mitigations

The Committee operates under the assumption that **any human process can be corrupted**. The table below lists the most common factors and their associated controls.

#	Risk Factor	Mitigation Mechanism
F1	**Physical or legal coercion** of members	Rotation, selective anonymity on critical votes, jurisdictional refuge, anonymous reporting channel, documentary *dead man's switch*
F2	**Bribery and financial interest**	Mandatory pre- and post-mandate asset declaration; family asset audit; ban on employment in related industries for 7 years post-mandate
F3	**Corporate capture**	Prohibition of majority private funding; no member may come directly from a company with an interest in the evaluated project
F4	**State / geopolitical capture**	Multilateralism with geographically distributed quorum; legal seat in a neutral jurisdiction with immunity treaty; dual jurisdiction for audits
F5	**Ideological bias**	Mandatory plurality; blind peer review; integration of non-Western epistemologies
F6	**Cognitive bias and groupthink**	Internal Red Team; rotation; mandatory *devil's advocate*; *pre-mortem* on every decision
F7	**Latent conflict of interest**	Continuous mapping; automatic disqualification upon detection; sworn declaration
F8	**Alert fatigue**	Operator rotation; shift limits; separation between detection and decision
F9	**Misinterpretation of criteria**	Single versioned glossary; periodic comprehension tests; restrictive master clause of interpretation
F10	**Poor technical practices**	ISO/IEEE/IEC standards, formal verification, *bug bounty*, mandatory code review
F11	**Media manipulation and disinformation**	Multichannel official communication; alliances with independent fact-checkers; radical transparency

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

#	Risk Factor	Mitigation Mechanism
F12	**Deadline pressure**	Non-compressible minimum periods per phase; the GTP may extend but not shorten
F13	**False-flag attacks to force closure or opening**	Cross-confirmation by three independent sources before any extreme action
F14	**Quorum compromise**	Partially randomized selection; rotation; external audit of votes
F15	**Supply chain compromise**	Traceability to raw materials; dual sourcing; open hardware; post-quantum cryptography
F16	**Quantum risk to the ledger**	Continuous migration to post-quantum primitives; ledger replicated across multiple substrates
F17	**AI alignment risk**	Sentinel Node under Extended Asimov Directives; mandatory human review; multi-party *kill-switch*
F18	**Accumulation of individually safe effects**	System-level evaluation, not only component-level; modeling of interactions
F19	**Geographic inequality of benefits**	Equitable allocation by rings; mandatory cross-subsidy
F20	**Technological lock-in**	Required open standards; data portability and exportability
F21	**Unintended harm to non-human ecosystems**	Environmental defender; consultation with local knowledge
F22	**Secret decisions**	Every redaction must be justified, time-bound, and reviewable; maximum 5% of ledger redacted
F23	**Poor succession**	Successors trained 12 months before assuming office; annually tested BCP/DRP plans
F24	**Attack on the detection system**	Decentralized, cross-redundant detection
F25	**Material or technology not yet invented**	Reactive blacklist + conservative whitelist; precautionary principle

7. Crisis Protocol PC-1

Distrust-based freeze protocol, scaled to the WCPE's multi-project universe.

- **Automatic activation** upon: REP threshold breach, defender failure, evidence of coercion, quantum anomaly, multi-vector attack, or quorum failure.
- **Immediate effect:** project freeze to a minimum-exposure state and notification to the GTP within 5 minutes.
- **Escalation:** Blue → Purple → GTP (15 minutes for critical alerts).
- **Global *frozen list* mode** during deliberation.
- **Public communication** issued simultaneously with the pause.

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

8. Governance Safeguards

1. No state, corporation, or coalition may unilaterally activate or control the GTP.
2. Every session is audited by independent third parties.
3. **Emergency Reversal** mechanism (reinforced quorum) if a decision proves erroneous.
4. Mandatory annual *war-gaming* and *red-team exercises*.
5. **Immutable ledger** with post-quantum signatures and geographic replication.
6. **Defender of Life and Future Generations** with permanent suspensive veto.
7. **Master clause of interpretation:** in case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of the advancement of human life prevails.
8. **Anti-monopoly clause:** exclusive appropriation of benefits is prohibited.
9. **Radical transparency clause:** confidentiality is the exception, not the rule; every exception is temporary and reviewable.
10. **Youth clause:** at least 15% of full-voting seats across WCPE bodies (FC, Advisory Committee, Regional Committees) are reserved for persons under 30 at the time of designation; each body shall have at least one youth seat.

9. Funding and Independence

- Mixed, multilateral funding model with a 7% per-contributor cap to prevent dependence.
- Annual financial audit with full publication.
- Earmarked funding by evaluated industries is prohibited.
- Strategic reserve equivalent to 24 months of operations.

10. Call to Action

States, multilateral organizations, universities, NGOs, professional associations, indigenous communities, youth networks, and global citizens are invited to join as founding members of the **World Committee for Planet Earth**, contributing expertise, legitimacy, and commitment to the planetary common good.

The Earth does not allow irreversible trials. Humanity does not accept benefits captured by a few. The WCPE exists so that every globally scoped scientific-technological advance is, at the same time, **demonstrable, equitable, and reversible human progress**.

Annex A — Abbreviated Glossary

- **ICA:** International Certifying Authority (see 3.9).
- **Reduced-APOC:** testing regime under extreme conditions at limited and reversible scale.
- **FC:** Founding Council (see 3.2).

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full "License and Conditions of Use" notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

- **GTP:** Gold Team of the Planet — supreme strategic decision authority.
- **PC-1:** Crisis Protocol Level 1, distrust-based freeze.
- **REP / DRI / HPC:** Project Event Risk / Reversible or Irreversible Damage / Public Trust Footprint.
- **WCPE-BLS:** WCPE Blacklist System (see 3.10).
- **Sentinel Node:** advisory AI with exceptional vote under the Extended Asimov Directives; see Section 4.5.
- **Extended Asimov Directives:** Zeroth, First, Second, and Third Laws of Robotics + WCPE Complementary Law (biosphere, future generations, human dignity).
- **AI-washing:** improper use of the Sentinel Node to legitimize prior human decisions.
- **TRA:** Tribunal of Reversal and Appeal (see 3.8).
- **Defense in Depth:** security through redundant layers.
- **Absolute Zero Trust:** no element is presumed trustworthy without verification.

LICENSE AND CONDITIONS OF USE.
The content is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) license:
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/
By using this content and model, you agree to:
Provide appropriate credit, include a link to the above license, and indicate if changes were made.
Not use the material or any derivative works for commercial purposes.
Distribute any adaptations or derivative works only under the same CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
Not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.
Data logging and trade secrets policy.
The design, implementation, and deployment of this model, as well as any derivatives, do not authorize the collection, storage, or exploitation of IP addresses, unique device identifiers, or other technical traceability data for commercial profiling, targeted advertising, or any other economic exploitation.
Any system implementing this model must limit the logging and retention of IP addresses and other identifiable data strictly to what is necessary to:
(a) maintain technical security and service integrity; and
(b) comply with applicable legal obligations (for example, any minimum log retention required by law).
It is prohibited to use this model or its derivatives to:
capture, store, or exploit trade secrets, proprietary know-how, or confidential information of users or third parties for any purpose other than providing the technical service described in this documentation; or train or improve closed,

This model and documentation are provided for non-commercial use only under the CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license.
No commercial use, profiling, or exploitation of IP logs or trade secrets is permitted.
See full “License and Conditions of Use” notice for details.
In case of conflict or ambiguity, the most restrictive interpretation in favor of non-commercial use, user privacy, and protection of trade secrets shall prevail.
Author: Carlos Daniel Borrego Romero

commercial systems using data that contains confidential information of third parties without their prior, explicit, written consent.

---+EOD+---